



# **FIJI PINE GROUP: Due Diligence System according to FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN. REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD.**

## **Introduction**

Fiji Pine Group produces soft wood sawn timber products and wood chips. Supply for FIJI PINE GROUP LTD's products comes from a variety of plantation sources. Some are local FSC certified, and some are local controlled material source. All wood sourced is plantation grown species mainly Caribbean Pine - Pinus caribaea and Slash Pine- Pinus elliottii, in the Republic of Fiji.

Suppliers are all private wood lots supplying Controlled material and are covered by this FSC Controlled Wood Due Diligence System.

For all suppliers including third party private growers, detailed records are kept of supplying blocks, and these are made available to FSC auditors.

## **Due Diligence system**

This document outlines the Due Diligence (DD) system under FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD - PART I DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM.

The basis of the FIJI PINE GROUP Due diligence system is three elements: obtaining information, risk assessment, risk mitigation.

---

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Page 1 of 26

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

FIJI PINE GROUP uses a risk assessment on this supply chain as part of their program for controlled wood sources under FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN. REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD.

Since there is no approved National Risk assessment<sup>1</sup> or Centralized National Risk<sup>2</sup> assessment for Fiji TROPIC WOOD LTD use an Extended company risk assessment (ECRA): An organization's assessment of the risk of sourcing from unacceptable sources in unassessed areas, developed according to FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework and the standard FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1 Requirements for Sourcing FSC Controlled Wood.

The ECRA assess risks in the following areas:

- 1) Illegally harvested wood;
- 2) Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights;
- 3) Wood from forests in which high conservation values are threatened by management activities;
- 4) Wood from forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use; and
- 5) Wood from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted.

If Low risk cannot be determined a category called specified risk: defined as:

*"A conclusion, following a risk assessment conducted according to FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework, that there is risk which cannot be determined as low that forest products from unacceptable sources may be sourced or enter the supply chain from a Specific geographic area. The nature and extent of this risk is specified for the purpose of defining efficient control measures."*

(Source: FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework)

Under FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN. REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD. When specified or unspecified risk for the source of the material or mixing in the supply chain is identified, **control measures** shall be implemented by the organization to mitigate the risk.

FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN 4.5 says:

---

<sup>1</sup> National risk assessment (NRA): An assessment of the risk of sourcing from unacceptable sources in a given country/region, developed according to FSC-PRO-60-002 The Development and Approval of FSC National Risk Assessments. (Source: FSC-PRO-60-002 The Development and Approval of FSC National Risk Assessments)

<sup>2</sup> Centralized national risk assessment (CNRA): National risk assessment or part thereof developed by FSC International Center.

---

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

*“Indicators and verifiers in an approved Forest Stewardship National Standard, certification body standard, or International Generic Indicators may be used for control measures where relevant.”*

Therefore risk mitigation is achieved by applying the guidance as control measures and monitoring the effectiveness of their implementation.

FIJI PINE GROUP also documents the risk of mixing material with non-eligible inputs in its supply chains during transport, processing, or storage.

## Contents

- 1 FSC-PRO-60-002a Extended Company Risk Assessment Framework including for each supply source:
  - Supply location. Description of the nature of the supplying forestry operations, the supply area(s) and respective risk designation(s), List of suppliers.
- 2 Basis of the control measures and monitoring of the control measures.
  - 2.1 Control measures and
- 3 Mixing Risk Assessment.
- 4 Procedures for comments and complaints from stakeholders relating to the DDS.
5. Internal audit of the DDS

## FIJI PINE GROUP EXTENDED COMPANY RISK ASSESSMENT OF WOOD SUPPLY

According to FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework for each supply source

### SUMMARY:

Risk designations in finalized Extended Company Risk Assessment Fiji Pine Groupi.

Indicator	Risk designation (including functional scale when relevant)
	Controlled wood category 1: Illegally harvested wood
1.1	Low risk
1.2	Low risk
1.3	Low risk
1.4	Low risk
1.5	Low risk
1.6	Low risk
1.7	Specified Risk. Low risk for Plantation.
1.8	Low risk
1.9	Specified risk (related to protection of environment)
1.10	Low risk
1.11	Low risk
1.12	Specified risk (relates to labour rights and discrimination)

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

1.13	Low risk
1.14	Low risk
1.15	Low risk
1.16	Specified risk low risk for softwood plantation under license. (Relates to tax payments)
1.17	Specified Risk. (Relates to a Valid License to harvest).
1.18	Low risk
1.19	Low risk
1.20	Specified risk, Low risk for plantations
1.21	Low risk
Controlled wood category 2: Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights	
2.1	Low risk
2.2	Specified Risk
2.3	Low risk
Controlled wood category 3: Wood from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities	
3.0	Specified risk. Adequate information is not available to establish the risk for all HCV categories. This means that all section 3 is specified risk.
3.1	Specified risk
3.2	Specified risk
3.3	Specified risk
3.4	Specified risk
3.5	Specified risk
3.6	Specified risk
Controlled wood category 4: Wood from forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use	
4.1	Low Risk
Controlled wood category 5: Wood from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted	

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

5.1	Low Risk
-----	----------

FOR THE FULL EXTENDED COMPANY RISK ASSESSMENT CLICK HERE [\(ADD LINK\)](#).

**Table 1 - Suppliers to Fiji Pine Group Ltd**

Supplier Name	Product type	Material category	FSC, CoC certificate or CW (if applicable)
Refer to Annex 1	W1.1	Controlled material	N/A
Refer to Annex 1	Roundwood (logs)		N/A
Refer to Annex 1	And Woodchips (in-field)		
Refer to Annex 1	W1.3		
Refer to Annex 1			
Refer to Annex 1	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Controlled Material	N/A
Refer to Annex 1	W1.1 Roundwood (logs) and Woodchips (in-field) W1.3	Controlled Material	N/A

**2 Basis of the control measures and monitoring of the control measures**

The basis of the control measures.

The third party wood suppliers are all contracted to for supply of soft wood trees according to an annual wood flow plan. Fiji Pine Group prepares the harvest plan and uses its own Harvest crews and does not use harvest contractors. Therefore Fiji Pine Group will manage the control measures themselves.

Control measures should specify outcomes or levels (i.e. thresholds) of performance that are measurable during an evaluation. Since Fiji Pine Group own operation is certified to FSC for forest management the procedures and practices use to meet the control measures will be the same as are used in Fiji Pine Group own FSC certified estate. This is consistent with FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN 4.5 which says:

“Indicators and verifiers in an approved Forest Stewardship National Standard, certification body standard, or International Generic Indicators may be used for control measures where relevant.”

**The measurable outcomes is therefore compliance to the relevant Indicators and verifiers in the approved certification body Forest Stewardship Standard for Fiji.**

Ongoing monitoring of the control measures.

Since Fiji Pine Group use its own harvest crew for third party as it does for its own FSC certified forest management system FIJI PINE GROUP will monitor and verify that the control measures are undertaken in it harvest planning and harvest operations according to the requirements of the FSC Principles and Criteria.

FIJI PINE GROUP will regularly visit harvest coups and will monitor the control measures during those visits e.g. checking harvest records, harvest QA records, Harvest Diaries.

A record of these visits and the checks made will be kept by FIJI PINE GROUP as evidence of these control measures.

**2.1 Control measures for non-certified suppliers and harvest contractors.**

No harvest contractors are used.

**2.2 Control Measures**

**For areas where Specified risk has been determined the following Control Measures will apply.**

<p><b>1.9 Protected sites and species.</b>  <i>International, national, and sub-national treaties,</i></p>	<p>Geographical scale: Republic of Fiji,  Functional Scale: Pine Plantation Forestry</p>	<p>For Controlled wood from other landowners Fiji Pine Group must do the harvest planning and use their own harvest crew.</p>
--	--	---

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

*laws, and regulations related to protected areas, allowable forest uses and activities, and/or rare, threatened, or endangered species, including their habitats and potential habitats. (3.2)*

Private Wood is purchased on a spot market basis. As part of this verification process 100% of private wood sales from all regions the owner or their agent completes a grower declaration to give a history of the plantation and any impacts on the environment that they are aware of.

Fiji Pine Group must use the same HCV management system for controlled wood that they use for its own FSC certified plantations. This system must be independently audited as meeting FSC Forest management standards for Principle 9 HCV.

9.1.1. The FME shall conduct an evaluation to identify High Conservation Values (HCV) attributes present in the FMU. This evaluation, at a minimum, shall include:  
Consultation of regional or national conservation databases and maps;  
 Consultation of the national HCVF toolkit, if it exists, or the first and third parts of the international toolkit for HCV presence (see HCVF Toolkit by WWF)1;

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Consideration of forest inventory data and observations from field workers, contractors or consultants of the FME;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Interviews with biologist and scientific experts, local communities, and other stakeholders;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Identification and documentation of possible threats to HCVs</li> </ul> <p>9.1.2. The FME shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Provide a written evaluation for HCVs that includes the elements of 9.1.1 and proposals to protect these HCVs;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Provide a technical explanation for the HCVs identified and the recommendations presented for the protection of these attributes; and</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrate that actions are being taken to protect and/or reduce threats to HCVs that stem from the FME's management activities.</li> </ul>
--	--	--

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>9.1.3. The FME shall consult environmental stakeholders, databases, government officials or researchers to identify HCVs. If there are HVCs present, the FME shall take all reasonable action to protect these values and/or reduce threats to them.</p> <p>9.1.4. The FME shall consult the national/ regional HCVF toolkit if it exists, other relevant regional information to identify potential HCVs. If there is not national/ regional toolkit, the FME should consult the first and third parts of the international toolkit for HCV presence (see footnote for large FMEs at 9.1.1).</p> <p>C9.2. The consultative portion of the certification process must place emphasis on the identified conservation attributes, and options for the maintenance thereof.</p> <p>C9.3. The management plan shall include and implement specific measures that ensure the maintenance and/or enhancement of the</p>
--	--	--

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>applicable conservation attributes consistent with the precautionary approach (if you can't guarantee protection you can't operate forestry in that area) . These measures shall be specifically included in the publicly available management plan summary.</p> <p>C9.4. Annual monitoring shall be conducted to assess the effectiveness of the measures employed to maintain or enhance the applicable conservation attributes.</p>
<p><b>1.12 Legal employment</b></p> <p><b>Legal requirements for employment of personnel involved in harvesting activities including requirements for contracts and working permits, requirements for obligatory insurance, requirements for competence certificates and other training requirements, and payment of social and income taxes withheld by the employer. Also covered are the observance of minimum working age and minimum age for personnel involved in hazardous work, legislation against forced and compulsory labor, and discrimination and freedom of association. (3.5)</b></p>	<p>Geographical scale: Republic of Fiji, Functional Scale: Pine Plantation Forestry</p>	<p>Fiji Pine Group will use the same management system for controlled wood that they use for its own FSC certified plantations. This system must be independently audited as meeting FSC Forest management standards for Principle 2:</p> <p>2.1 The Organization* shall* uphold* the principles and rights at work as defined in the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work (1998) based on the eight ILO Core Labour Conventions</p> <p>2.2 The Organization* shall* promote gender equality* in employment practices, training opportunities, awarding of contracts, processes of engagement* and management activities.</p>

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>2.1.4 The Organization* shall* respect freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining.</p> <p>2.1.4.1 Workers* are able to establish or join worker organizations* of their own choosing.</p> <p>2.1.4.2 The Organization* respects the rights of workers to engage in lawful activities related to forming, joining or assisting a workers' organization*, or to refrain from doing the same; and will not discriminate or punish workers for exercising these rights.</p> <p>2.1.4.3 The Organization* negotiates with lawfully established workers' organizations* and/ or duly selected representatives in good faith* and with the best efforts to reach a collective bargaining* agreement.</p>
<p><b>1.17 Trade and transport.</b></p> <p><b>All required trading and transport permits shall exist as well as legally required transport documents which accompany the transport of wood from forest operations. (5.2)</b></p>	<p>Geographical scale: Republic of Fiji, Functional Scale: Pine Plantation Forestry</p>	<p>Fiji Pine Group must use the same management system for controlled wood that they use for its own FSC certified plantations. This system must be independently audited as meeting FSC Forest management standards for Principle 2</p> <p>1.3 The Organization* shall* have legal* rights to operate in the Management Unit*, which fit the legal* status of The Organization* and of the Management Unit*, and shall* comply with the associated legal* obligations in</p>

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>applicable national and local laws* and regulations and administrative requirements. The legal* rights shall* provide for harvest of products and/or supply of ecosystem services* from within the Management Unit*. The Organization* shall* pay the legally prescribed charges associated with such rights and obligations.</p> <p>IGI All required trading and transport permits shall* exist as well as legally required transport documents which accompany the transport of wood from forest* operations.</p> <p>Valid License to harvest including approval of transport documents which accompany the transport of wood from forest operations</p>
<p><b>Indicator 2.2 Labour rights are respected</b></p> <p><b>Including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work. Are the social rights covered by the relevant legislation and enforced in the country or area concerned?</b></p>	<p>Geographical scale: Republic of Fiji, Functional Scale: Pine Plantation Forestry</p>	<p>Fiji Pine Group must use the same management system for controlled wood that they use for its own FSC certified plantations. This system must be independently audited as meeting FSC Forest management standards for Principle 2:</p> <p>2.1 The Organization* shall* uphold* the principles and rights at work as defined in the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work (1998) based on the eight ILO Core Labour Conventions</p>

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>2.2 The Organization* shall* promote gender equality* in employment practices, training opportunities, awarding of contracts, processes of engagement* and management activities.</p> <p>2.1.4 The Organization* shall* respect freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining.</p> <p>2.1.4.1 Workers* are able to establish or join worker organizations* of their own choosing.</p> <p>2.1.4.2 The Organization* respects the rights of workers to engage in lawful activities related to forming, joining or assisting a workers' organization*, or to refrain from doing the same; and will not discriminate or punish workers for exercising these rights.</p> <p>2.1.4.3 The Organization* negotiates with lawfully established workers' organizations* and/ or duly selected representatives in good faith* and with the best efforts to reach a collective bargaining* agreement.</p>
<p><b>3.1 – 3.6 Specified risk for all HCV -Since in 3.0 we have determined that not enough data exists for some HCV classes (but not all) specified risk applies across the board for an HCV classes</b></p>	<p>Geographical scale: Republic of Fiji, Functional Scale: Pine Plantation Forestry</p>	<p>For Controlled wood from other landowners Fiji Pine Group must do the harvest planning and use their own harvest crew.</p> <p>Private Wood is purchased on a spot market basis. As part of this verification process 100% of private wood sales from</p>

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>all regions the owner or their agent completes a grower declaration to give a history of the plantation and any impacts on HCV that they are aware of.</p> <p>Fiji Pine Group will use the same HCV management system for controlled wood that they use for its own FSC certified plantations. This system must be independently audited as meeting FSC Forest management standards for Principle 9 HCV.</p> <p>9.1.1. The FME shall conduct an evaluation to identify High Conservation Values (HCV) attributes present in the FMU. This evaluation, at a minimum, shall include:  Consultation of regional or national conservation databases and maps;  <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation of the national HCVF toolkit, if it exists, or the first and third parts of the international toolkit for HCV presence (see HCVF Toolkit by WWF)<sup>1</sup>;  <input type="checkbox"/> Consideration of forest inventory data and observations from field workers, contractors or consultants of the FME;</p>
--	--	--

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Interviews with biologist and scientific experts, local communities, and other stakeholders;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Identification and documentation of possible threats to HCVs</li> </ul> <p>9.1.2. The FME shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Provide a written evaluation for HCVs that includes the elements of 9.1.1 and proposals to protect these HCVs;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Provide a technical explanation for the HCVs identified and the recommendations presented for the protection of these attributes; and</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrate that actions are being taken to protect and/or reduce threats to HCVs that stem from the FME's management activities.</li> </ul> <p>9.1.3. The FME shall consult environmental stakeholders, databases, government officials or researchers to identify</p>
--	--	--

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:



		<p>HCVs. If there are HVCs present, the FME shall take all reasonable action to protect these values and/or reduce threats to them.</p> <p>9.1.4. The FME shall consult the national/ regional HCVF toolkit if it exists, other relevant regional information to identify potential HCVs. If there is not national/ regional toolkit, the FME should consult the first and third parts of the international toolkit for HCV presence (see footnote for large FMEs at 9.1.1).</p> <p>C9.2. The consultative portion of the certification process must place emphasis on the identified conservation attributes, and options for the maintenance thereof.</p> <p>C9.3. The management plan shall include and implement specific measures that ensure the maintenance and/or enhancement of the applicable conservation attributes consistent with the precautionary approach (if you can't guarantee protection you can't operate forestry in that area) . These measures shall be</p>
--	--	--

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

		<p>specifically included in the publicly available management plan summary.</p> <p>C9.4. Annual monitoring shall be conducted to assess the effectiveness of the measures employed to maintain or enhance the applicable conservation attributes.</p>
--	--	---

### 3 Mixing Risk Assessment

Mixing risk assessment for FSC controlled wood		Project / Work Description:
Risk Assessment Team (Name/s):		Approved By Supervisor / Reporting Officer: Ashiana Hassan
Date Conducted:	Next Review Date:	Date: 27/07/2021

Step	Hazard Identification	Risk Evaluation and justification	Control Measure
Harvest	Taking wood from blocks that are not covered under the Due Diligence system.	Low Risk Only contracted wood is taken. All contracted wood from all suppliers are covered under the Due diligence system.	The delivery note system ensures that wood is fully traceable to a coup of origin and that these coups are covered by the DDS.

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

	<b>Wood from outside the risk assessed area</b>	<b>Low Risk Wood is only taken from Contracted suppliers on the islands covered by the risk assessment.</b>	
<b>Transport</b>	<b>Mixing of non controlled wood in transit</b>	<b>Low risk Wood is only taken from Contracted suppliers and Fiji Pine Group manages all stages of transport to the Mill</b>	
<b>Milling and chipping</b>	<b>Mixing of non controlled wood at the mill</b>	<b>Low risk Wood at the Mill is either FSC wood or wood covered by the controlled wood due diligence system.</b>	

#### **4 Procedures for comments and complaints from stakeholders relating to the DDS.**

This procedure is used for stakeholder consultations and instances when comments or complaints from Stakeholders. Formal stakeholder consultations will take place when the DDS is first set up and after that only whenever a risk designation of control measure changes. Comments and complaints may occur at any time.

4.1 Stakeholder identification: FIJI PINE GROUP has identified affected and interested stakeholders in relation to the forest management activities of their suppliers and the identified risk, including the stakeholder groups listed below (see section 4.7).

4.2 Stakeholder notification: Identified stakeholders will be invited to participate in the consultation at least six (6) weeks prior to the change to the DDS or related control measures that is the subject of the consultation. FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd shall employ effective means to inform stakeholders, using culturally appropriate consultation techniques.

---

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

#### 4.3 Stakeholder engagement techniques:

Techniques to reach out to stakeholders may include Face to face meetings, personal contacts by phone, email, or letter, notice published in the national and/or local press and on relevant websites, local radio announcements, or local customary notice boards.

#### 4.4 Stakeholder consultation

All identified stakeholders are provided access to information that is relevant to the consulted issue no later than six (6) weeks prior to the change to the DDS that is the subject of the consultation. FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd only excludes information that is considered confidential. In such cases a justification for the confidential nature of the information is presented to the FSC certification.

If there is a requirement to publish the comments stakeholders will be asked to provide their consent to the publication of their comments.

#### 4.5 Stakeholder feedback

Within sixty (60) days after the end of the consultation period, FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd will respond to all stakeholders who participated in the consultation process, to explain how their comments were taken into account.

#### 4.6 Consultation records:

FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd maintains records of the consultation process, including a list of stakeholders consulted and their comments, and evidence that the consultation was carried out in line with the requirements of this standard.

#### 4.7 Content of the report

FIJI PINE GROUP will prepare a report of the consultation process, which includes:

- a) The areas for which the stakeholder consultation has been conducted (e.g. geo-reference data, state, province, supply unit);
- b) A list of the stakeholders groups invited by FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd to participate in the consultation;
- c) A summary of the stakeholder comments received. These will not normally be published but if they are comments are only be published with prior consent from the consulted stakeholder and not associated with stakeholder names;
- d) A description of how FIJI PINE GROUP Pty Ltd has taken stakeholder comments into Account;
- e) The organization's justification for concluding that the material sourced from these areas can be used as controlled material or sold with the FSC Controlled Wood claim of the certification process, according to Section 6 of the controlled wood standard.

#### 4.8 Stakeholders groups

Groups or individuals representing the interests listed below, that are relevant and according to identified risk, will be identified and added to a stakeholder database and notified during the consultation process. Each group specified may be represented by an unlimited number of representatives, subject to balanced consideration of the input received during the consultation. The list is not comprehensive and any other stakeholder groups relevant to the certification process may be identified, added to the stakeholder database and notified.

Economic interests:

- a) Forest owners and/or managers of large, medium and small forests, and high, medium, and low-intensity managed forests;
- b) Forest contractors (including loggers);
- c) Representatives of forest workers and forest industries;
- d) Certificate holders.

Social interests

- a) NGOs involved or with an interest in social aspects of forest management and other related operations;
- b) Forest workers;
- c) International, national and local trade/labour unions;
- d) Representatives of local communities involved or with an interest in forest management, including those relevant for HCVs 5 and 6;
- e) Representatives of Indigenous Peoples and/or traditional peoples (if present and/or holding rights), including those relevant for HCVs 5 and 6;
- f) Representatives of recreational interests.

Environmental interests

a) NGOs involved or with an interest in the environmental aspects of forest management. Consultation should target the following areas of interest and expertise:

- Biological diversity
- Water and soil
- HCVs 1-4

b) Local communities and Indigenous Peoples' representatives (HCVs 5 and 6).

3.4 FSC-accredited certification bodies active in the country

3.5 National and state forest agencies

3.6 Experts with expertise in controlled wood categories

3.7 Research institutions and universities

---

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

3.8 FSC Australia

**1. Internal audit of the DDS**

The FIJI PINE GROUP LTDDDS is internally audited at least annually to ensure that it is being implemented correctly. The scope, dates, and staff involved in internal are recorded on the records of internal audits.

Where the DDS is evaluated as ineffective during the internal audit all relevant issues are Addressed and corrected within 12 months of their detection.

APPENDIX 1

Expert review and input

Expert used and qualifications	Expert Input
<p>Kevin OGrady – Kevin OGrady is Managing Director of Pinnacle Quality and works in a range of sustainability standards including FSC and the Alliance for water stewardship.</p> <p>Kevin OGrady was a member of the FSC International controlled wood technical committee who developed the FSC controlled wood standards – current version now FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD.</p> <p>Kevin OGrady was chairman of FSC Australia and initial chair of the FSC Australia Controlled Wood National Risk assessment committee who developed the world’s first FSC Controlled Wood National Risk assessment in 2014.</p> <p>Under the new framework, FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework, Kevin OGrady was on the committee who developed the updated National Risk Assessment for Australia and was a consultant on the Centralized National Risk assessment developed for New Zealand</p>	<p>Develop and draft the Extended National Risk assessment and Due Diligence system for Fiji Pine Group Ltd.</p>
<p>Sean Cadman – Sean Cadman was a member of the FSC International controlled wood technical committee who</p>	<p>Peer review of the draft and gap</p>

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

<p>developed the FSC controlled wood standards – current version now FSC-STD-40-005 (V3.1) EN REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCING FSC® CONTROLLED WOOD.</p> <p>Sean was chairman of FSC Australia involved in developing the FSC Australia Controlled Wood National Risk assessment which was the world’s first FSC Controlled Wood National Risk assessment in 2014.</p> <p>Under the new framework, FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment Framework, Sean Cadman was on the committee who developed the updated National Risk Assessment for Australia.</p> <p>Sean is an elected member of the FSC Policy and Standards Committee whose role it is to review and approve FSC National Standards around the world.</p>	<p>assessment against FSC-PRO-60-002a FSC National Risk Assessment.</p>
<p>Vaughan OGrady – Editor. Vaughn OGrady is a freelance editor based in London. UK. He has a long work history editing technical journals for general markets in Africa, South East Asia and the Middle East. He specializes in summarizing long technical documents for a non-specialist audience.</p>	<p>Editor and developed of the Plain Language version of the Extended Company Risk assessment as the basis for stakeholder consultation.</p>
<p>Ms Sanjana Lal - Conservator of Forests - Ministry of Forest</p>	<p>Confirmed compliance with local Laws relating to forestry.</p>
<p>Ms. Slanaieta Matai -.Lecturer Fiji National University.</p>	<p>Environmental and Social impact assessments. HCVF assessment and developing HCV plans including annual revision of plans or reports if needed.</p>
<p>Ilaitia Finau Technical Consultant with Ms Salanieta Matai</p>	<p>Environmental law advice</p>
<p>George Vuki (former General Manager Operations for Fiji Pine) now is the Director for Forestry Department - Ministry of Forests. Part of developing SRC energy wood plantations to supply biomass to a wood fired power plant (12MW) for supply to Fiji's power grid. Part of a project to determine the area of the invasive African tulips in the Nadroumai area near Sigatoka using GIS and field reconnaissance survey.</p>	<p>Development of operation under FSC system for Fiji Pine</p>

**ANNEX 1**

**FIJI PINE TRUST  
Community Forest Plantation Program**

<b>EXTENSION FOREST</b>					
<b>Div</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Beneficiaries</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Province</b>	<b>Block Area (ha)</b>
<b>EAST</b>		<b>Name</b>			<b>2014</b>
	1	Cicia Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Lau	101.7
	2	Lakeba Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Lau	1,573.1
	3	Narocake Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Lomaiviti	321.6
	4	Moturiki Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Lomaiviti	66.2
	5	Matuku Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Lau	85.2
	6	Totoya Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Lau	
	7	Lamiti Pine Scheme(Qalivakabau)	Vanua Scheme	Lomaiviti	
		<b>Sub Total Eastern Division</b>			
<b>SOUTH</b>	8	Ono Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Kadavu	238.7
	9	Muani Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	86.1
	10	Yawe Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Kadavu	207.0
	11	Sanima Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	51.2
	12	Tavuki Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	118.6
	13	Namalata Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Kadavu	63.3
	14	Nasega Pine Scheme		Kadavu	60.2
	15	Tabanivonolevu Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Kadavu	33.8
	16	Nabala Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	39.5

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:



	17	Tiliva Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	
	18	Solodamu Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Kadavu	
		<b>Sub Total Souther Division</b>			
<b>CENTRAL</b>	19	Dawasamu Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Tailevu	473.9
	20	Beqa Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Rewa	26.0
		<b>Sub Total Central Division</b>			
<b>NORTH</b>	21	Vanuavou Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Cakaudrove	22.6
	22	Teteiciva Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Cakaudrove	15.9
	23	Nukubati Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Macuata	59.3
	24	Namuka Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Macuata	133.5
	25	Kubulau Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Bua	143.7
	26	Solevu Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Bua	47.3
	27	Naruwai Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Bua	156.3
	28	Nadi Pine Scheme	Tikina Scheme	Bua	59.5
		<b>Sub Total Northern Division</b>			
<b>WEST</b>	29	Korolevu I wai Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Nadroga	57.5
	30	Navutu Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Nadroga	145.6
	31	Gaunavou Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Nadroga	29.2
	32	Wauosi/Nasaucoke Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	52.3
	33	Bemana Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	39.0
	34	Navula Pine Scheme	Mataqali Scheme	Navosa	91.2
	35	Namoli Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	47.1
	36	Nakoro Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	55.5
	37	Nausori Highland Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	153.6
	38	Nawaka Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	105.3
	39	Nasesevia Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	425.7
	40	Navo Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	48.9

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

Doc. No.

Effective Date:  
Next Review Date:

	41	Magodro Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	
	42	Navala Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	106.2
	43	Navatusila Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Navosa	103.4
	44	Nakorovau Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	85.5
	45	Koroboya Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	132.3
	46	Savatu Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	52.3
	47	Navunivau Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	56.3
	48	Navuvulo Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ba	59.1
	49	Nalaba Pine Scheme	Vanua Scheme	Ra	37.5
		<b>Sub Total Western Division</b>			
					<b>6,067.7</b>